BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation)
against:) Case No: 10-2002-139925
)
PHILLIP R. RAND, M.D.)
THICEH K. KAND, M.D.	;)
)
Physician's and Surgeon's	,)
Certificate #C-11539)
	ĵ	<u> </u>
	Respondent.)
))

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision and Order by the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on April 18, 2005.

IT IS SO ORDERED _April 11, 2005

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

William S. Breall, M.D. Panel B Acting Chair

Division of Medical Quality

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California THOMAS S. LAZAR, State Bar No. 120621	
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101	
5	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266	1
6	Telephone: (619) 645-2117 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFOR	
9	MEDICAL BOART	DICAL QUALITY OF CALIFORNIA
10		ONSUMER AFFAIRS ALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Amended Accusation Against:	Case No. 10-2002-139925
12	Ţ	
13	PHILLIP R. RAND, M.D. 3367 Fourth Avenue	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER
14	San Diego, CA 92103	
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 11539,	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED A	ND AGREED by and between the parties to the
19	above-entitled proceeding that the following mat	ters are true:
20	PAR'	<u>ties</u>
21	1. Complainant David T. The	ornton is the Executive Director of the Medical
22	Board of California and is represented in the abo-	ve-entitled matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney
23	General of the State of California, by Thomas S.	Lazar, Deputy Attorney General.
24	2. Respondent Phillip R. Ran	d, M.D., is represented in the above-entitled
25	matter by J. Grant Kennedy, Esq., 141 Duesenber	g Drive, Suite 4, Westlake Village, CA 91362,
.26	Telephone: (805) 374-0010.	
27	3. On September 16, 1948, th	e Medical Board of California (hereinafter

"Board") issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 11539 to respondent Phillip R.

Rand, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"). Said Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Amended Accusation No.10-2002-139925 filed in the above-entitled matter and will expire on September 30, 2006, unless renewed. On September 15, 2004, an ex parte Interim Order of Suspension was issued immediately suspending respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 11539 and prohibiting him from practicing medicine in the State of California pending a noticed hearing. Respondent waived his right to that noticed hearing and on September 29, 2004, an Interim Order of Suspension was issued by Presiding Administrative Law Judge Steven V. Adler suspending respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 11539. As a result, respondent remains suspended from the practice of medicine as of the date of the execution this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.

JURISDICTION

- 4. On October 24, 2003, Accusation No. 10-2002-139925 was filed against respondent before the Division of Medical Quality (hereinafter "Division"). On October 24, 2003, respondent was served with a true and correct copy of Accusation No. 10-2002-139925, together with true and correct copies of all other statutorily required documents, at his address of record then on file with the Board: 3367 Fourth Avenue, San Diego, CA 92103. On or about October 31, 2003, a Notice of Defense was filed on respondent's behalf by his then attorney of record, Robert J. Sullivan, Esq.
- 5. On September 14, 2004, Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-139925 was filed against respondent before the Division. A true and correct copy of Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-139925 is attached hereto as Attachment "A" and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. On January 31, 2005, respondent was served with a true and correct copy of Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-139925, together with a true and correct copy of the Supplemental Statement to Respondent, at his address of record then on file with the Board: 3367 Fourth Avenue, San Diego, CA 92103.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondent has carefully read and fully understands the charges and allegations contained in Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-139925, and has fully reviewed and

ヒントエロトトロとう

б

25.

discussed same with his attorney of record, J. Grant Kennedy, Esq.

- 7. Respondent has carefully read and fully understands the contents, force, and effect of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, and has fully reviewed and discussed same with his attorney of record, J. Grant Kennedy, Esq.
- 8. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter including his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-139925, his right to present witnesses and evidence and to testify on his own behalf, his right to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying against him, his right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, his right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision, and all other rights accorded him pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, and all other applicable laws, having been fully advised of same by his attorney of record, J. Grant Kennedy, Esq. Respondent, having the benefit of counsel, hereby knowingly, intelligently, freely and voluntarily waives and gives up each and every one of the rights set forth and/or referenced above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-139925 and that he has thereby subjected his license to disciplinary action. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for reinstatement of Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 11539, all of the charges and allegations contained in Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-139925, and each of them, separately and severally, shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any proceeding involving respondent is petition for reinstatement and any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California.
- 10. Respondent hereby surrenders his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
 No. C 11539 to the Division for its formal acceptance. Respondent understands that by signing
 this Supulated Surrender of License and Order he is enabling the Division to issue an order

. 12:25

accepting the surrender of his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 11539 without further notice or opportunity to be heard.

3

CONTINGENCY

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

approval of the Division. The parties agree that this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order shall be submitted to the Division for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Division shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this stipulation after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, respondent fully understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time the Division considers and acts upon it.

12. The parties agree that this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Division, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, the Division may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the Attorney General's office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Division, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Division, in its discretion, does not approve and adopt this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees that should the Division reject this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order for any reason, respondent will assert no claim that the Division, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order or of any matter or matters related hereto.

///

1//

D006

1

2

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

3 4

13. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.

5 6

7

The parties agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of 14. License and Order, including facsimile signatures of the parties, may be used in lieu of original documents and signatures and, further, that facsimile copies and signatures shall have the same force and effect as originals.

8 9

In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties 15. agree that the Division may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard, issue and enter the following Order:

11

10

<u>ORDER</u>

12 13

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 11539 heretofore issued to respondent Phillip R. Rand, M.D., is surrendered and accepted by the Division of Medical Quality.

15

14

The surrender of respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. 1. C 11539 and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Division of Medical Quality shall constitute the imposition of discipline against respondent. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of respondent's license history with the Division.

16 17

18

19 20

2.

21 22

Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a physician and surgeon in the State of California, and shall lose all rights and privileges to practice medicine in the State

23

of California, as of the effective date of the Division's Decision and Order.

24

3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Division both his wall and pocket license certificates on or before the effective date of the Division's Decision and Order.

25 26

If respondent ever petitions for reinstatement of Physician's and Surgeon's 4. Certificate No. C 11539, all of the charges and allegations contained in Amended Accusation No.

27 28

10-2002-139925, and each of them, separately and severally, shall be deemed true, correct and

03/10/2005

Dept. of Justice > 918053740048

NO. BED

fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any proceeding involving respondent's petition for reinstatement and any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California. 3 <u>ACCEPTANCE</u> 4 I, Phillip R. Rand, M.D., have carefully read this Stipuleted Surrender of License and Order and enter into it freely, voluntarily, intelligently, with the benefit of counsel, with full 5 knowledge of its force and effect on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 11419, and б agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality. 7 8 DATED: 9 10 11 I have read and fully discussed with respondent Phillip R. Rand, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I 12 approve its form and content. 13 14 DATED: 3 11.05 15 16 Attorney for Respondent 17 ENDORSEMENT 18 The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of 19 California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California. 20 21 22 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California 23 Вy Deputy Attomicy Gene 27 Attorneys for Complainant 28

03/10/2005

12:25

Dept. of Justice → 918053740048

NO.060

JURISDICTION .

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality 3. (Division) for the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent açt.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate

and distinct breach of the standard of care.

2

"(d) Incompetence.

3 4 "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

5

"(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."

6 7

6. Section 2234 of the Code states:

8

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article,

10

unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

11

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5,

12 13

the Medical Practice Act].

14

"(b) Gross negligence,

15

"(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate

17

16

and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated

18

negligent acts.

19

"(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent

limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's

conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate

20 21

act.

22

"(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not

23

رح

24

25

96

26

27

"(d) Incompetence.

and distinct breach of the standard of care.

28

"(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is

1	

じろく1セイビビビ5

2 3

4

5

б

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
 - 7. Section 2241 of the Code states:

"Unless otherwise provided by this section, the prescribing, selling, furnishing, giving away, or administering or offering to prescribe, sell, furnish, give away, or administer any of the drugs or compounds mentioned in Section 2239 to an addict or habitué constitutes unprofessional conduct.

"If the drugs or compounds are administered or applied by a licensed physician and surgeon or by a registered nurse acting under his or her instruction and supervision, this section shall not apply to any of the following cases:

- "(a) Emergency treatment of a patient whose addiction is complicated by the presence of incurable disease, serious accident or injury, or the infirmities attendant upon egę,
- "(b) Treatment of addicts or habitués in state licensed institutions where the patient is kept under restraint and control, or in city or county jails or state prisons.
- "(c) Treatment of addicts as provided for by Section 11217.5 of the Health and Safety Code."
 - 8. Section 2242 of the Code states:
- "(a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor, constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- "(b) No licensee shall be found to have committed unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this section if, at the time the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished, any of the following applies:
- "(1) The licensee was a designated physician and surgeon or podiatrist serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and if the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished only as necessary to maintain the

ロンイエウィニロロン

7

patient until the return of his or her practitioner, but in any case no longer than 72 hours.

- "(2) The licensec transmitted the order for the drugs to a registered nurse or to a licensed vocational nurse in an inpatient facility, and if both of the following conditions exist:
- "(A) The practitioner had consulted with such registered nurse or licensed vocational nurse who had reviewed the patient's records.
- "(B) The practitioner was designated as the practitioner to serve in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be.
- "(3) The licensee was a designated practitioner serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and was in possession of or had utilized the patient's records and ordered the renewal of a medically indicated prescription for an amount not exceeding the original prescription in strength or amount or for more than one refilling.
- "(4) The licensee was acting in accordance with Section 120582 of the Health and Safety Code."
- 9. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

Section 725 of the Code states:

"Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, or optometrist.

However, pursuant to Section 2241.5, no physician and surgeon in compliance with the California Intractable Pain Treatment Act shall be subject to disciplinary action for lawfully prescribing or administering controlled substances in the course of treatment of a person for intractable pain."

1	1
2	part:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code states, in pertinent

"(a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California, that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation, including any dental surgery or invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation."

12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence, Repeated Negligent Acts, Incompetence)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234 (b), (c), and (d) in that during his care and treatment of patients A.P. and C.M. respondent committed gross negligence, repeated negligent acts and/or incompetence. The circumstances are as follows:

Patient A.P.

A. On or about August 7, 2004, respondent performed a vaginal suction abortion on patient A. P. Prior to the procedure respondent performed an ultra sound and

б

> 5 б

> > 7

8 9

11

12

10

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

determined the gestation of the fetus to be about 20 weeks. Despite performing a second trimester abortion, respondent gave A.P. little or no analgesia or anesthesia for pain

- The procedure took about five minutes after which respondent told the В. patient she would bleed a little which was normal. The patient was taken to the recovery room, which consisted of several futon like beds, only a foot or so off the floor. She stayed in the recovery room for about thirty minutes, during which time she began to bleed heavier, began to get the chills, and began feeling dizzy. Respondent had already left the facility, leaving only two medical assistants to watch the patient. There were no other licensed medical personnel on the premises, nor was there any emergency equipment like a crash cart. There was no oxygen in the recovery room, nor was there any LV.s
- At approximately 5:45 p.m. the medical assistant watching A.P. called C. respondent on the telephone to tell him about the patient's condition. Respondent was en route to his home in Chula Vista. He told the medical assistant to call 911 if the patient's condition did not improve. When A. P's bleeding did not stop, the medical assistant called the fire department. Paramedics arrived in about ten minutes.
- When the paramedics arrived they found only two medical assistants in the D. clinic with A.P., who was bleeding from the vaginal area onto the mattress of her bed. A.P.'s blood pressure was 80/40, her pulse was 160 and she looked pale and weak. She had no I.V. hooked up, nor had she received any oxygen. When A. P. was lifted to a gurney, there was a large pool of blood on the bed. A.P. was taken to St. Joseph's hospital.

Patient C.M.

Respondent treated C.M. between August 2000 and August 2002. During Ε. that time, there were approximately 9 actual office visits, with the patient complaining of weight management, leg and hip pain. Respondent made objective findings of phlebitis, muscle tear, ligament injury, severe anxiety, failure and depression. Respondent treated the patient with drugs, lots of drugs.

Ţ	
2	

03/10/2005

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25· 26

27

28 | ///

	F.	Of the approximately nine visits C.M. made to respondent's office in that
two yea	ar perio	od, respondent noted her weight on five occasions, but made reference to a
woight	proble	m only on the visit of June 11, 2001. On only three of the visits did
respon	dent re	cord C.M.'s blood pressure. On only three of the visits respondent noted the
patient	's anxi	ety

- G. Between January 17, 2001 and January 30, 2003, respondent wrote more than 100 prescriptions for C.M. to four different pharmacies. Respondent advised C.M. to fill the prescriptions at different pharmacies and to tell them she was going on vacation, to avoid detection as to the amount of medication she was receiving. The majority of the prescriptions were for the short term weight loss medication, Phentermine, and the anti-anxiety medication Alprazolam (Xanax). There were also prescriptions for Vicodin.
- H. Between January and September 2002, respondent prescribed C.M. 1031 Alprazolam tablets, far in excess of the prescribed dosage of 1-2 tablets per day. Between January and November 2001 respondent prescribed C.M. 480 Phentermine tablets, again in excess of the prescribed dosage.
- 14. Respondent committed gross negligence, repeated acts of negligence and/or incompetent in the care, treatment, and management of patient A.P. by reason of, but not limited to, the following:
 - A. Respondent failed to give the patient intravenous fluids despite her anemia and multiparity
 - B.. Respondent failed to give the patient adequate analgesia or anesthesia despite performing a second trimester procedure.
 - C. Respondent failed to adequately monitor the patient's vital signs during and after the procedure.
 - D. Respondent failed to establish an I.V. to the patient.
 - E. Respondent failed to give the patient oxygen.

12:25

5

8

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

- F. Respondent left the patient in the hands of unlicensed medical personnel prior to establishing the patient was free of complications.
 - G. Respondent placed the patient in an unacceptable recovery room.
- 15. Respondent committed gross negligence, repeated acts of negligence and/or incompetent during his care, treatment, and management of patient C.M. by reason of, but not limited to, the following:
 - A. Respondent failed to perform a history and physical which included an assessment of symptoms and psychological function and substance abuse history.
 - B. Respondent excessively prescribed Alprazolam, giving C.M. at least 4 mg. per day between August 200 and August 2002, without any notation as to the amount of the drug she was taking, nor any reassessment, nor any attempt to wean her to the lowest effective dosage.
 - C. Respondent excessively prescribed Phentermine, which may cause psychological dependence, over a two year period without reassessing the patient's need for the drug.
 - D. Respondent prescribed to a known addict, knowing she was receiving an excessive amount of medication and advising her to fill the prescriptions at different pharmacies.
 - E. Respondent failed to refer C.M. for a psychological consultation.
 - F. Respondent failed to develop an appropriate treatment plan to treat C.M.'s weight problem including low-calorie diet, exercise, and behavior modifying support, with limited use of anorexic drugs.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 in that during his care and treatment of patient A.P. he failed to maintain adequate and accurate records. Paragraphs 13 (B) through (D), and 14 (C) are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest Acts)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(e) in that at the time respondent renewed his certificate on or about July 23, 2002, he signed under penalty of perjury that he had documentation reflecting his having taken an average of 25 hours of CME for each calendar year his license was effective, and that he had taken 100 hours of CME within the past four years. Respondent can document the completion of only one hour of CME since 1999.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prescribing to an Addict)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2241 in that during his care and treatment of C.M. respondent prescribed to an addict. Paragraph 13 (E) through (13) (H) is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prescribing Without Good Faith Examination)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2242 in that during his care and treatment of C.M. respondent wrote prescriptions for controlled substances and other medications without first performing a good faith examination, and/or without medical indication. Paragraph 13 (E) through (H) is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 in that during his care and treatment of patient C.M. he failed to maintain adequate and accurate records. Paragraphs 13 (E) through (H) are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

21. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about May 6, 1999, in a prior disciplinary action entitled In the Matter of the Reprimand Against PHILLIP R. RAND, M.D. before the Medical

12:25

1	Board of California, in Case Number 09-1995-56923, Respondent entered into an agreement
2	with the Medical Board and a Public Letter of Reprimand was issued on May 6, 1999, which
3	required among other things that respondent complete the PACE prescribing course which he
4	completed in 1999. Respondent was also required to pass an oral clinical examination on
. 5	prescribing practices.
6	<u>PRAYER</u>
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
8	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division of Medical Quality issue a decision:
9	Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number C
10	11539, issued to PHILLIP R. RAND, M.D.;
11	2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of PHILLIP R. RAND, M.D.'s
12	authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
13	3. Ordering PHILLIP R. RAND, M.D. to pay the Division of Medical
14	Quality the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on
15	probation, the costs of probation monitoring;
16	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
17	DATED: 9/14/04
18	
19	\$ 1950
20	DAVID, T. THORNTON Executive Director
21	Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs
22	State of California Complainant
23	- Valing a later to the second and t
24	03573160-D2003 D0392 Rand_Amended Acc, upd
25	SHZ: vc
26	
27	
28	